

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Tsuyoshi KUBOTA et al.	Art Unit: 1793
Application No.: 10/500,915	
Confirmation No.: 8404	Examiner: W. Zhu
Filing or 371(c) Date: February 17, 2005	
Title: BREAKING AND SPLITTING STRUCTURE OF CONNECTING ROD	

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated April 15, 2008, please consider Applicant's arguments and remarks concerning the prior art rejection issued in the Office Action dated April 15, 2008. Applicant has filed a Notice of Appeal with this Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review.

Claims 11-19, 21-26, and 42-46 are pending in this application.

Claim 11 recites in part:

the breakage-starting portion extends from one end of the crank pin bore to a location just short of a middle of the axial length of the crank pin bore.

Claim 18 recites in part:

the breakage-starting portion is defined by a plurality of pores formed in the crank pin bore.

Claim 19 recites in part:

the axial length of the breakage-starting portion is substantially equal to or less than a diameter of the bolt holes.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the prior art rejection of Claims 11, 18, and 19 are summarized as follows.

The Examiner alleged that Fetouh teaches all of the features recited in Applicant's Claims 11, 18, and 19, except for the lengths of the notches as recited in Claims 11 and 19, and the shape of the notches as recited in Claim 18. The Examiner further alleged, "it is well held that discovering an optimum value of a result-effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.... In the instant case, the length and the shape of the notches are result-effective variables, because they would directly affect the degree of the bending deformation during the fracture and the additional machining required after the separation as disclosed by Fetouh ('109)(col. 2, lines 15-36)." Thus, the Examiner concluded, "it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have optimized the length and the shape of the notches of Fetouh ('109) in order to minimize the deformation and the additional machining." Applicant respectfully disagrees.

As set forth in MPEP § 2144.05, a particular parameter must first be recognized as a result-effective variable, i.e., a variable which achieves a recognized result, before the determination of the optimum or workable ranges of said variable might be characterized as routine experimentation. *In re Antonie*, 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977).

Col. 2, lines 15-36 of Fetouh, which the Examiner alleged supports the allegation that the notches are result-effective variables because they allegedly would directly affect the degree of bending deformation during the fracture and the additional machining required after the separation, disclose:

The present invention provides methods and apparatus for making split bearing assemblies which substantially reduce the amount of machining over the most common methods. The methods and apparatus of the present invention utilize novel fracture techniques that eliminate problems of bending deformation during fracture and avoid the necessity for additional machining after separation. The novel methods are applicable not only to connecting rods and similar items to which fracture separation has been previously applied, but also to components having a plurality of bearing caps connected to a single body, such as an engine

block, to provide a novel assembly. Novel splitting apparatus are provided for the manufacture of engine blocks and the like.

The various features and advantages of the method and apparatus as well as the novel structures involved will be more completely disclosed and understood in the following description of certain specific embodiments, chosen for purposes of illustration, together with the accompanying drawings.

Neither this portion nor any other portion of Fetouh teaches or suggests anything at all about the specific length or shape of the notches 42, 44, 84, 85, or that a specific length or shape of the notches 42, 44, 84, 85 achieves a recognized result. Thus, contrary to the Examiner's allegations, Fetouh clearly fails to teach or suggest that either the length or the shape of the notches 42, 44, 84, 85 is a result-effective variable. Quite to the contrary, Fetouh specifically teaches a method and apparatus for making split bearing assemblies which reduces the amount of machining over common methods. Particularly, col. 4, lines 40-66 of Fetouh disclose:

After cracking of one pair of legs, continued force application along the connecting rod longitudinal axis, causing further expansion of the opening 34, would cause the formation of a second crack along the split plane 38, on the opposite side of the connecting rod and result in fully separating the cap and main body. However, experience has shown that completing the fracture in this manner may cause excessive bending of the material at the outer edges of the mating legs defined by the second crack. This bending results in deformation of the material along the outer edge which can interfere with proper mating engagement of the cap and main body upon attempted reassembly of the two members. Thus, it is advisable to provide means to prevent excessive opening of a space at the point of crack 45 which would allow the development of bending stresses to the material in the opposite split plane.

This may be accomplished, as shown in FIG. 5, by applying a clamping force on opposite ends of the initially separated legs after the crack 45 has been formed. Continued application, or reapplication, of the longitudinal separating force against the cap and main body sides of the bore 34 is, then, effective to create a second crack 46, starting from the notch 42 and extending outwardly, generally in the split plane 38 to the outer edge of the rod, causing fracture separation of the mating legs 22, 26 and forming their mating ends. (emphasis added)

Thus, in Fetouh, deformation and additional machining is minimized by applying a clamping force on opposite ends of the initially separated legs after the crack has been formed, not by providing notches having a specific length and shape as alleged by the Examiner.

Further evidence that the notches 42, 44, 84, 85 of Fetouh have absolutely nothing to do with minimizing deformation and additional machining is found in the embodiment shown in Figs. 12 and 12a of Fetouh. Particularly, Figs. 12 and 12a and col. 6, lines 34-58 of Fetouh describe an embodiment of the invention in which no notches are provided. The fact that Fetouh teaches that the deformation and additional machining of the split bearing can be minimized without any notches being provided clearly indicates that, contrary to the Examiner's allegations, the length and shape of the notches 42, 44, 84, 85 of Fetouh are not result-effective variables, and in fact, are not even necessary in the method and apparatus of Fetouh.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that, contrary to the Examiner's allegations, it would not have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have optimized the length and the shape of the notches of Fetouh in order to minimize the deformation and the additional machining. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that Fetouh certainly fails to teach or suggest the feature of "the breakage-starting portion extends from one end of the crank pin bore to a location just short of a middle of the axial length of the crank pin bore" as recited in Applicant's Claim 11, the feature of "the breakage-starting portion is defined by a plurality of pores formed in the crank pin bore" as recited in Applicant's Claim 18, and the feature of "the axial length of the breakage-starting portion is substantially equal to or less than a diameter of the bolt holes" as recited in Applicant's Claim 19.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of Claims 11, 18, and 19 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fetouh.

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 11, 18, and 19 are allowable. Claims 12-17, 21-26, and 42-46 depend upon Claims 11, 18,

and 19, and are therefore allowable for at least the reasons that Claims 11, 18, and 19 are allowable.

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance are solicited.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account No. 50-1353.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 14, 2008

/Christopher A. Bennett #46,710/
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

KEATING & BENNETT, LLP
1800 Alexander Bell Drive, Suite 200
Reston, VA 20191
Telephone: (571) 313-7440
Facsimile: (571) 313-7421

Joseph R. Keating
Registration No. 37,368

Christopher A. Bennett
Registration No. 46,710